

WHY PERFORMANCE, NOT POSTURING, DEFINES RADICAL CHANGE IN SIERRA LEONE

By Mahmud Tim Kargbo

Monday, 12 January 2026

The debate over whether Dr David Moinina Sengh qualifies as a radical is not merely semantic. It exposes a deeper fault line in African political thought between romanticised disruption and disciplined transformation. Too often, radicalism is mistaken for noise, volume for vision, and confrontation for courage. Yet nations are not rebuilt by rhetoric. They are rebuilt by performance. When stripped of nostalgia and ideological theatre, the critique advanced against Sierra Leone's Chief Minister reveals far less about his record than it does about the limitations of an ageing conception of radicalism itself.

This distinction matters. Sierra Leone's history offers painful lessons about the cost of mistaking spectacle for substance. The question before the country is not whether radicalism is loud or theatrically defiant, but whether it delivers durable change. Judged by outcomes rather than slogans, Dr David Moinina Sengh represents one of the most consequential reformist figures of Sierra Leone's post war era.

RADICALISM MISDEFINED AND PERSONALISED

Oswald Hanciles' intervention begins by grounding radical legitimacy in personal biography, decades of activism, and ideological consistency. While his environmental advocacy is not in dispute, this framing is intellectually fragile. Radicalism in public office is not a lifetime achievement award. It is a measurable function of institutional impact.

By centring biography rather than outcomes, radicalism is reduced to a closed moral club, accessible only to those who resemble past revolutionaries or lifelong activists. Governance does not operate on such terms. States are transformed by policy design, execution, and durability, not by self certification or rhetorical purity.

THE CONTRADICTION AT THE HEART OF THE CRITIQUE

Hanciles praises leaders who exercised near absolute power under military or revolutionary conditions, Thomas Sankara, Muammar Gaddafi, and Jerry Rawlings in his junta phase, while simultaneously condemning a constitutional office holder for not behaving like an autocrat.

This contradiction is never resolved. Dr Sengeh operates within a democratic cabinet system, bound by law, parliament, budgetary process, and collective responsibility. To demand Sankara style ruptures without Sankara style power is not radical. It is incoherent. The critique collapses under the weight of its own inconsistency.

THE FICTION OF “VAST POWERS”

Repeated references to the Chief Minister’s supposed vast powers are factually inaccurate. The Office of the Chief Minister, established in 2018, is a coordination and delivery office, not a revolutionary command post. It does not control security forces, legislate policy, or independently allocate national budgets.

Its mandate is to align ministries, track performance, and ensure implementation of presidential priorities. To accuse the office of timidity for failing to overthrow systems it does not constitutionally control is to misunderstand the architecture of the Sierra Leonean state.

RADICALISM IN GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY AND DELIVERY

True radicalism in fragile states begins with discipline. Under Dr Sengeh’s leadership, the Chief Minister’s Delivery Unit introduced performance contracting, ministerial scorecards, and results based monitoring between 2019 and 2024.

According to the World Bank Public Expenditure Review published in 2022, Sierra Leone recorded measurable improvements in budget credibility and expenditure control. These reforms directly challenged a post war culture in which failure carried no institutional consequence. This was not cosmetic change. It altered how power functions inside government.

RADICALISM IN EDUCATION AND MERITOCRACY

As Minister of Basic and Senior Secondary Education from 2018 to 2023, Dr Sengeh oversaw the Free Quality School Education programme, expanding access to education for over two million children.

More decisively, nationwide payroll verification exercises between 2019 and 2021 removed thousands of ghost teachers, saving millions of leones annually and restoring integrity to teacher recruitment. Supported by UNICEF and the Global Partnership for Education, curriculum reforms and teacher training upgrades shifted education away from patronage towards competence. That these reforms were administrative rather than theatrical does not diminish their radical impact.

ANTI CORRUPTION THROUGH STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Hanciles calls for visible anti corruption militancy. What he overlooks is how corruption is actually dismantled. Under Dr Sengeh's coordination, procurement processes increasingly migrated to digital and auditable platforms, reducing discretionary abuse.

The Anti Corruption Commission reported improved procurement compliance between 2020 and 2023, while Sierra Leone's Open Budget Index score improved, reflecting greater fiscal transparency. Radical anti corruption reform does not shout. It constrains.

DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION AS MODERN RADICALISM

Perhaps the most decisive evidence against the critique is Sierra Leone's embrace of digital public infrastructure. Integrated data systems, digital identity frameworks, and technology driven service delivery have begun to dismantle opacity across government.

The United Nations and development partners have cited Sierra Leone as an emerging example of digital governance innovation in fragile states. Digitisation permanently alters power relations by reducing discretion and secrecy. This is radicalism for the twenty first century state.

ENVIRONMENTALISM AND THE LIMITS OF RHETORICAL MAXIMALISM

Calls for trillions of dollars annually for Africa may express moral urgency, but governance demands feasibility. Dr Sengeh's approach has focused on engaging existing World Bank and African Development Bank climate facilities, strengthening environmental data systems, and integrating climate resilience into national planning.

Radicalism that cannot be implemented is symbolism. Radicalism that delivers adaptation is responsibility.

THE FALSE DEMAND FOR PERFORMATIVE RADICALISM

The insistence that the masses must immediately see and feel radicalism misunderstands how transformation unfolds. Payroll audits, procurement reform, digital systems, and performance management do not produce slogans. They produce outcomes.

The most consequential reforms are often the quietest, precisely because they leave no room for negotiation or spectacle.

A NEW GENERATION, A CLOSED DEBATE

The offer to "guide" the Chief Minister reveals a deeper generational divide. It assumes that longevity in activism outweighs expertise in data, systems design, and institutional reform.

Dr David Moinina Sengeh represents a new model of African leadership, one grounded in evidence, coordination, and institutional endurance. This debate ultimately belongs to different eras. One looks backward to revolutionary romance. The other looks forward to state functionality.

Sierra Leone needs radical change, but not radical nostalgia. Dr David Moinina Sengeh's record demonstrates a form of radicalism rooted in performance, not posturing, one that survives power transitions, resists corruption, and delivers public value.

The argument against him is not merely unconvincing. It is outdated.

SOURCES AND FURTHER READING

[http:// www.worldbank.org/en/country/sierraleone/publication/public-expenditure-review](http://www.worldbank.org/en/country/sierraleone/publication/public-expenditure-review)

[http:// www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey](http://www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey)

[http:// www.unicef.org/sierraleone/education](http://www.unicef.org/sierraleone/education)

[http:// www.globalpartnership.org/where-we-work/sierra-leone](http://www.globalpartnership.org/where-we-work/sierra-leone)

[http:// www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/digital-public-goods-and-fragile-states](http://www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/digital-public-goods-and-fragile-states)